### Legend

#### **Environment**

Computer 3.2+GHz, 6 cores + HT, 64GB RAM

OS Ubuntu 12.04

HDD LSI Controller w/ 1GB cache, default settings; 8 x 3TB HDD as RAID 0, ext4 SSD 2 x 512GB SDD in software RAID 0, no TRIM support in this config, ext4

RAM 32GB tmpfs

**Tests** 

dump p = r0.5000 - q > /dev/null

load \$repo -q (dump file is on RAM disk) export \$ruby/trunk -q or \$bsd/head -q (target is a RAM disk)

log \$repo/ --limit 20000 > /dev/null

Uses standard SVN CL client and server /trunk@1604933, no debug, with full optimization.

Apache 2.2 without authz, Worker MPM, 1 Process, 64 Threads

Client and server run on the same machine.

Machine otherwise idle.

## Extra options used in the different configurations

slow Apache (all default)

svnserve (all default) file: (all default) dump (all default) load (all default)

medium Apache SVNInMemoryCacheSize=256

svnserve -M 256

file: memory-cache-size=256 (in config file)

dump -M 256 load -M 256

fast Apache SVNInMemoryCacheSize=1024

SVNCacheRevProps=on SVNBlockRead=on SVNCompressionLevel=0

synserve -M 1024 -c 0 --cache-revprops yes --client-speed 1000 --block-read yes

file: memory-cache-size=1024 (in config file)

dump -M 1024

load -M 1024 execute with 'eatmydata' lib

Repositories

ruby r46054 http://svn.ruby-lang.org/repos/ruby/bsd r263988 http://svn0.eu.FreeBSD.org/base

# Methodology

OS disk caches were cleared before each individual test.

Servers got restarted before each individual test.

The whole test procedure got run twice to get an indication on result variation; results are from 2. run. Some results vary by 5 to 10% or 50ms (depending on what is larger).

# Legend

Key to result tables

Runtime lifetime of the respective client process, given in seconds

outlier

F7 ./. F6 (runtime format 7 repo) / (runtime format 6 repo) – 1

< -50% unchanged > +50%

(twice as fast) (1/3 slower)

Pack/nopack (runtime packed repo) / (runtime non-packed repo) -1

< -50% unchanged > +50%

(twice as fast) (1/3 slower)

CPU (user + sys time) / (runtime)

0% 100% client-only

Dump - old

Runtime		HDD			SSD		RAM			
Runume	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	
ruby-f6-nopack	22.6	12.5	11.7	20.2	11.5	11.4	18.0	9.8	9.3	
ruby-f7-nopack	24.3	11.0	10.1	23.6	10.7	10.5	22.0	9.1	9.0	
ruby-f6-packed	18.1	9.8	9.6	17.9	9.5	9.3	17.7	9.4	9.3	
ruby-f7-packed	30.3	10.1	9.7	29.1	9.5	9.1	29.2	9.6	10.0	
bsd-f6-nopack	10.4	7.0	8.5	8.2	6.9	6.7	6.3	5.1	4.9	
bsd-f7-nopack	12.4	5.9	5.0	11.9	6.2	5.8	10.2	4.6	4.2	
bsd-f6-packed	6.8	5.5	5.0	6.3	6.4	4.9	6.2	5.0	4.9	
bsd-f7-packed	21.1	5.2	5.5	20.4	4.7	4.2	20.2	4.6	4.1	

F7 ./. F6		HDD			SSD		RAM			
F7 .1. F0	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	
ruby-nopack	7%	-12%	-14%	17%	-7%	-8%	22%	-7%	-3%	
ruby-pack	67%	3%	0%	63%	0%	-2%	65%	2%	8%	
bsd-nopack	19%	-16%	-41%	45%	-10%	-15%	62%	-9%	-14%	
bsd-pack	213%	-7%	8%	222%	-27%	-15%	226%	-9%	-17%	

Pack ./. nopack		HDD			SSD		RAM			
Pack ./. Hopack	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	
ruby-f6	-20%	-22%	-18%	-12%	-17%	-18%	-1%	-3%	0%	
ruby-f7	25%	-9%	-4%	24%	-11%	-14%	33%	5%	11%	
bsd-f6	-35%	-21%	-40%	-23%	-6%	-27%	-2%	0%	0%	
bsd-f7	70%	-12%	8%	72%	-24%	-27%	97%	-1%	-3%	

CPU		HDD			SSD		RAM			
CPU	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	
ruby-f6-nopack	79%	72%	75%	87%	79%	78%	96%	89%	92%	
ruby-f7-nopack	89%	78%	84%	91%	81%	81%	97%	92%	92%	
ruby-f6-packed	95%	91%	91%	96%	92%	92%	96%	93%	92%	
ruby-f7-packed	94%	88%	86%	97%	92%	91%	97%	92%	83%	
bsd-f6-nopack	56%	66%	52%	71%	67%	66%	88%	85%	85%	
bsd-f7-nopack	79%	69%	74%	82%	66%	64%	93%	84%	83%	
bsd-f6-packed	84%	82%	85%	88%	68%	85%	88%	86%	85%	
bsd-f7-packed	91%	76%	63%	96%	83%	81%	96%	85%	83%	

- Outlier possibly gives F7 (hdd fast bsd-nopack) and packed (hdd fast bsd-f6) an extra 20% advantage.
- Dump is mainly CPU bound as HDD, SSD and RAM deliver roughly the same performance.
- F7 performance in "slow" config suffers from "block-read" feature being enabled even for small caches (fixed on HEAD, see latest measurements).
- Medium-sized caches double performance in virtually all cases.
- Packed is faster than non-packed as I/O is more linear. Even true for F7 with the old code if caches Are larger than the pack size.
- F7 is not expected to deliver much higher dump speeds than F6 because the item order of F6 (rev by rev, starting with r0) fits the dump request better than F7 (path order and per-node history).

Runtime		HDD			SSD		RAM			
Runume	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	
ruby-f6	88	78	40	1008	990	41	43	34	34	
ruby-f7	97	81	41	1008	989	42	50	35	35	
bsd-f6	75	73	36	969	967	36	28	26	26	
bsd-f7	86	77	37	993	976	38	38	28	27	

F7 / F6		HDD			SSD		RAM			
F7 ./. F6	slow medium fast		fast	slow medium fast			slow	fast		
ruby	11%	4%	3%	0%	0%	4%	16%	3%	5%	
bsd	14%	5%	4%	2%	1%	6%	36%	6%	5%	

CDLI		HDD			SSD		RAM			
CPU	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	
ruby-f6	59%	54%	98%	3%	8%	98%	100%	99%	99%	
ruby-f7	62%	55%	99%	3%	8%	98%	100%	99%	99%	
bsd-f6	54%	52%	98%	3%	7%	97%	99%	99%	99%	
bsd-f7	60%	53%	98%	4%	7%	97%	100%	100%	99%	

- With "medium" and "fast" config, commits in F7 are slightly more expensive than in F6, this is due to index data being written. Actual overhead is within measurement error margins.
- F7 performance in "slow" config suffers from "block-read" feature being enabled even for small caches (fixed on HEAD, see latest measurements).
- Disabling fsync for the load process makes it CPU bound and gives similar speed for all storage media with RAM maintaining a small advantage.

Export - old

	Runtime		HDD			SSD		RAM			
	Runume	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	
	ruby-f6-nopack	31.3	29.5	29.3	4.1	3.6	3.5	1.7	1.5	1.5	
	ruby-f7-nopack	30.7	30.8	30.5	4.1	3.7	4.3	2.0	1.7	2.1	
	ruby-f6-packed	12.3	10.7	11.9	2.6	2.1	2.2	1.7	1.5	1.5	
] /:c	ruby-f7-packed	6.6	4.2	4.4	3.9	2.2	2.4	3.7	2.0	2.2	
http://	bsd-f6-nopack	408.7	402.1	400.6	29.8	27.9	27.2	13.1	10.9	10.3	
	bsd-f7-nopack	319.5	312.9	292.7	34.8	31.9	38.0	16.2	12.7	21.9	
	bsd-f6-packed	241.9	240.8	240.7	19.8	18.1	18.2	13.1	11.1	11.1	
	bsd-f7-packed	162.9	79.7	96.8	110.0	24.9	43.6	108.2	22.8	41.2	
	ruby-f6-nopack	29.8	29.6	28.8	3.6	3.6	2.8	2.1	2.2	1.4	
	ruby-f7-nopack	28.0	27.9	27.6	3.9	3.9	3.2	2.3	2.4	2.1	
	ruby-f6-packed	11.6	10.9	9.8	3.0	3.1	2.1	2.2	2.3	1.3	
Svn://	ruby-f7-packed	5.1	4.2	3.8	3.3	2.8	2.2	3.3	2.5	1.9	
l S	bsd-f6-nopack	351.2	351.4	334.4	36.7	36.8	20.9	26.0	26.2	10.6	
	bsd-f7-nopack	266.0	265.3	220.9	38.6	38.8	24.7	26.8	26.8	15.2	
	bsd-f6-packed	279.6	279.0	263.3	33.5	33.3	18.1	27.3	26.9	11.7	
	bsd-f7-packed	128.7	98.4	86.4	65.5	35.0	23.4	62.5	32.0	20.5	
	ruby-f6-nopack	28.8	29.0	29.2	3.1	3.1	3.1	1.5	1.5	1.6	
	ruby-f7-nopack	27.6	27.8	27.9	3.7	3.5	3.7	2.3	2.1	2.1	
	ruby-f6-packed	10.5	10.1	10.7	2.4	2.5	2.5	1.5	1.6	1.7	
file://	ruby-f7-packed	8.2	4.4	4.2	6.7	2.5	2.5	6.4	2.2	2.2	
≝	bsd-f6-nopack	338.8	339.4	339.2	25.2	25.4	25.4	14.6	15.1	15.4	
	bsd-f7-nopack	228.0	224.2	223.7	34.3	30.4	29.5	25.0	20.8	20.1	
	bsd-f6-packed	266.9	267.5	266.9	22.3	22.2	22.3	15.9	15.5	15.8	
	bsd-f7-packed	175.6	97.3	87.7	112.5	36.5	27.0	109.9	33.7	24.0	

F7 / F6		HDD			SSD			RAM	
F7 ./. F6	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast
ruby-nopack	-2%	5%	4%	0%	5%	23%	19%	15%	44%
ruby-pack bsd-nopack	-46%	-61%	-63%	53%	2%	8%	117%	35%	50%
ight bsd-nopack	-22%	-22%	-27%	17%	14%	40%	23%	17%	112%
bsd-pack	-33%	-67%	-60%	455%	37%	140%	728%	105%	270%
ruby-nopack	-6%	-6%	-4%	7%	6%	16%	10%	7%	52%
ruby-pack	-56%	-62%	-61%	9%	-8%	4%	49%	9%	39%
ruby-pack  bsd-nopack	-24%	-25%	-34%	5%	5%	18%	3%	2%	44%
bsd-pack	-54%	-65%	-67%	95%	5%	30%	129%	19%	75%
ruby-nopack	-4%	-4%	-5%	21%	14%	21%	60%	37%	34%
ruby-pack	-22%	-57%	-61%	178%	-1%	-1%	321%	34%	33%
ruby-pack ⇒ bsd-nopack	-33%	-34%	-34%	36%	19%	16%	71%	<b>3</b> 8%	30%
bsd-pack	-34%	-64%	-67%	403%	64%	21%	592%	117%	52%

Daale / managle		HDD			SSD		RAM		
Pack ./. nopack	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast
ruby-f6	-61%	-64%	-59%	-37%	-40%	-35%	-1%	-1%	-1%
ruby-f7 bsd-f6	-78%	-86%	-86%	-3%	-41%	-43%	80%	16%	3%
bsd-f6	-41%	-40%	-40%	-34%	-35%	-33%	-1%	2%	8%
bsd-f7	-49%	-75%	-67%	216%	-22%	15%	568%	80%	88%
ruby-f6	-61%	-63%	-66%	-16%	-15%	-23%	3%	4%	-2%
ruby-f7	-82%	-85%	-86%	-14%	-26%	-31%	40%	6%	-10%
⇒ bsd-f6	-20%	-21%	-21%	-9%	-10%	-14%	5%	3%	11%
bsd-f7	-52%	-63%	-61%	70%	-10%	-5%	133%	19%	35%

Export - old

ruby-f6	-64%	-65%	-64%	-22%	-17%	-19%	4%	7%	5%
ruby-f7	-70%	-84%	-85%	79%	-28%	-33%	174%	4%	5%
⇒ bsd-f6	-21%	-21%	-21%	-11%	-13%	-12%	8%	3%	3%
bsd-f7	-23%	-57%	-61%	228%	20%	-8%	339%	63%	19%

	CDU		HDD			SSD			RAM	
	CPU	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast
	ruby-f6-nopack	2%	2%	2%	14%	16%	16%	33%	36%	37%
	ruby-f7-nopack	2%	2%	<b>2</b> %	14%	<b>15</b> %	13%	26%	33%	25%
	ruby-f6-packed	5%	6%	5%	23%	27%	<i>2</i> 5%	32%	37%	36%
http://	ruby-f7-packed	9%	14%	<b>13</b> %	<b>15</b> %	27%	22%	16%	27%	26%
htt	bsd-f6-nopack	2%	2%	2%	25%	26%	27%	56%	65%	69%
	bsd-f7-nopack	<b>3</b> %	<b>3</b> %	<b>3</b> %	21%	23%	20%	45%	56%	34%
	bsd-f6-packed	4%	4%	4%	37%	41%	40%	56%	66%	65%
	bsd-f7-packed	6%	11%	9%	8%	31%	18%	8%	34%	19%
	ruby-f6-nopack	3%	3%	2%	16%	15%	15%	26%	25%	30%
	ruby-f7-nopack	<b>3</b> %	<b>3</b> %	2%	14%	15%	<b>13</b> %	24%	<b>23</b> %	21%
	ruby-f6-packed	6%	<b>6</b> %	<b>6</b> %	19%	18%	19%	26%	24%	30%
: ا	ruby-f7-packed	12%	14%	<i>12</i> %	17%	20%	19%	17%	22%	22%
Svn://	bsd-f6-nopack	4%	4%	<b>3</b> %	23%	23%	28%	32%	32%	53%
	bsd-f7-nopack	4%	4%	4%	22%	22%	<i>2</i> 3%	31%	31%	37%
	bsd-f6-packed	4%	4%	4%	25%	25%	31%	30%	31%	48%
	bsd-f7-packed	7%	10%	8%	13%	24%	<b>25</b> %	14%	26%	28%
	ruby-f6-nopack	6%	6%	7%	40%	43%	44%	71%	74%	74%
	ruby-f7-nopack	9%	8%	8%	57%	53%	51%	82%	80%	80%
	ruby-f6-packed	13%	15%	14%	51%	51%	54%	72%	74%	74%
file://	ruby-f7-packed	73%	41%	44%	90%	73%	73%	93%	81%	81%
≝	bsd-f6-nopack	6%	6%	6%	63%	63%	64%	97%	97%	97%
	bsd-f7-nopack	13%	11%	11%	75%	71%	71%	98%	98%	98%
	bsd-f6-packed	8%	8%	8%	73%	73%	73%	97%	97%	97%
	bsd-f7-packed	63%	35%	28%	97%	92%	90%	99%	98%	98%

- Packed and to a lesser degree non-packed F7 repositories become CPU bound on fast storage. This has been fixed on HEAD (see new measurements).
- Packed repositories perform significantly better than non-packed ones when I/O is not cheap.
- On HDD, packed F7 repos perform significantly better than F6. The effect increases with cache size.
- On HDD, non-packed F7 ruby repos performs roughly the same as F6 (as expected). The bsd repo seems to accidentally have a better on-disk placement of the individual files which has nothing to do with F7. This 20 .. 30% advantage is not affected by cache sizes as it should when it was to do with F7. We don't see that difference between ruby and bsd on the other storages locations.
- synserve delivers the most consistent performance while Apache measurements show the effects of the client dynamically deciding how many connections to use: If the server answers quickly enough, no second connection will be used. The effect is most pronounced with bsd-f7-packed, where "medium" is faster than the other configs and even faster then synserve. In the other configs, http: is slower than syn:.
- When reading from fast storage, network compression becomes a bottleneck for all repos. Compare svn: slow & medium with fast on SSD. The latter has compression turned off.

Runtime			HDD			SSD		RAM			
	Runume	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	
	ruby-f6-nopack	10.90	9.34	8.66	3.65	3.49	3.60	0.78	0.64	0.69	
	ruby-f7-nopack	9.81	9.36	9.06	3.70	3.42	3.74	0.75	0.73	0.73	
	ruby-f6-packed	2.36	1.68	0.82	1.91	1.64	0.71	1.74	1.64	0.67	
 http://	ruby-f7-packed	2.44	1.85	0.84	1.93	1.68	0.88	1.74	1.64	0.73	
Ħ	bsd-f6-nopack	13.77	13.14	12.65	3.53	3.65	3.52	0.86	0.66	0.79	
	bsd-f7-nopack	8.49	8.46	8.36	3.56	3.43	3.57	0.76	0.84	0.70	
	bsd-f6-packed	2.57	2.07	1.20	1.80	1.79	0.97	1.59	1.49	0.83	
	bsd-f7-packed	2.83	2.00	1.09	1.81	1.67	0.92	1.60	1.66	0.70	
	ruby-f6-nopack	8.60	8.60	8.90	3.37	3.39	3.46	0.52	0.55	0.61	
	ruby-f7-nopack	9.11	9.12	9.11	3.50	3.49	3.54	0.51	0.54	0.67	
	ruby-f6-packed	1.75	1.64	0.62	1.60	1.84	0.61	1.59	1.54	0.61	
Svn://	ruby-f7-packed	1.62	1.62	0.54	1.70	1.56	0.61	1.64	1.66	0.68	
S	bsd-f6-nopack	12.48	12.71	12.64	3.37	3.36	3.49	0.54	0.53	0.80	
	bsd-f7-nopack	8.45	8.50	8.38	3.33	3.49	3.50	0.55	0.55	0.69	
	bsd-f6-packed	1.90	1.88	1.13	1.57	1.62	0.78	1.42	1.46	0.72	
	bsd-f7-packed	1.76	1.77	0.96	1.59	1.85	0.73	1.44	1.44	0.64	
	ruby-f6-nopack	8.79	8.22	7.53	3.55	3.53	3.63	0.61	0.64	0.70	
	ruby-f7-nopack	9.17	8.97	8.85	3.54	3.83	3.73	0.70	0.69	0.69	
	ruby-f6-packed	1.87	1.68	1.72	1.68	1.73	1.77	1.65	1.69	1.93	
file://	ruby-f7-packed	1.65	1.76	1.80	1.70	1.75	1.77	1.66	1.80	1.75	
≝	bsd-f6-nopack	12.42	12.60	12.94	3.56	3.54	3.62	0.63	0.64	0.71	
	bsd-f7-nopack	8.34	8.41	8.43	3.53	3.53	3.62	0.63	0.73	0.72	
	bsd-f6-packed	1.97	2.07	2.12	1.85	1.74	1.94	1.56	1.56	1.62	
	bsd-f7-packed	2.53	2.28	2.19	1.72	1.85	1.74	1.52	1.55	1.70	

F7 ./. F6			HDD			SSD			RAM	
		slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast
	ruby-nopack	-10%	0%	5%	1%	-2%	4%	-4%	14%	5%
%	ruby-pack	4%	10%	3%	1%	3%	23%	0%	0%	8%
http://	bsd-nopack	-38%	-36%	-34%	1%	-6%	2%	-12%	29%	-12%
	bsd-pack	10%	-3%	-10%	1%	-6%	-5%	1%	11%	-15%
	ruby-nopack	6%	6%	2%	4%	3%	2%	-2%	-3%	10%
<u> </u>	ruby-pack	-8%	-1%	-12%	6%	-15%	0%	3%	7%	11%
l S	bsd-nopack	-32%	-33%	-34%	-1%	4%	0%	1%	2%	-14%
	bsd-pack	-8%	-6%	-14%	2%	14%	-7%	1%	-1%	-12%
	ruby-nopack	4%	9%	18%	0%	9%	3%	14%	8%	-2%
file://	ruby-pack	-11%	5%	5%	1%	1%	0%	1%	6%	-9%
≝	bsd-nopack	-33%	-33%	-35%	-1%	0%	0%	0%	13%	2%
	bsd-pack	28%	10%	3%	-7%	7%	-10%	-3%	0%	5%

ь	ack / nonack	HDD				SSD		RAM		
Pack ./. nopack		slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast
	ruby-f6	-78%	-82%	-91%	-48%	-53%	-80%	122%	155%	-3%
http://	ruby-f7	-75%	-80%	-91%	-48%	-51%	-77%	132%	123%	0%
<u>₩</u>	bsd-f6	-81%	-84%	-90%	-49%	-51%	-72%	85%	127%	5%
	bsd-f7	-67%	-76%	-87%	-49%	-51%	-74%	112%	96%	1%
	ruby-f6	-80%	-81%	-93%	-53%	-46%	-82%	203%	180%	1%
ا ≽ِ	ruby-f7	-82%	-82%	-94%	-52%	-55%	-83%	218%	208%	2%
SVI	bsd-f6	-85%	-85%	-91%	-53%	-52%	-78%	161%	173%	-11%
	bsd-f7	-79%	-79%	-89%	-52%	-47%	-79%	162%	164%	-8%

Log - old

ruby-f6	-79%	-80%	-77%	-53%	-51%	-51%	170%	165%	175%
≒ ruby-f7	-82%	-80%	-80%	-52%	-54%	-53%	138%	160%	154%
∷ ruby-f7 i≡ bsd-f6	-84%	-84%	-84%	-48%	-51%	-46%	145%	142%	129%
bsd-f7	-70%	-73%	-74%	-51%	-48%	-52%	140%	113%	135%

	CDU		HDD			SSD			RAM	
	CPU	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast	slow	medium	fast
	ruby-f6-nopack	<b>3</b> %	3%	4%	8%	9%	9%	38%	45%	40%
	ruby-f7-nopack	<b>3</b> %	<b>3</b> %	3%	8%	9%	8%	37%	38%	39%
	ruby-f6-packed	13%	17%	33%	16%	18%	39%	17%	19%	42%
http://	ruby-f7-packed	12%	16%	36%	16%	19%	32%	17%	18%	39%
htt	bsd-f6-nopack	<b>3</b> %	<b>3</b> %	3%	9%	9%	9%	36%	48%	<b>39</b> %
	bsd-f7-nopack	4%	4%	4%	9%	10%	9%	39%	37%	43%
	bsd-f6-packed	12%	15%	<i>2</i> 5%	17%	17%	31%	19%	22%	<i>35</i> %
	bsd-f7-packed	12%	16%	27%	18%	19%	33%	19%	19%	42%
	ruby-f6-nopack	2%	2%	2%	5%	5%	5%	34%	31%	29%
	ruby-f7-nopack	2%	2%	2%	<b>5</b> %	<b>5</b> %	5%	33%	31%	<b>26</b> %
	ruby-f6-packed	9%	10%	<b>26</b> %	10%	9%	30%	11%	11%	<b>29</b> %
Svn://	ruby-f7-packed	10%	10%	28%	10%	11%	26%	11%	10%	27%
S	bsd-f6-nopack	2%	2%	2%	<b>5</b> %	<b>5</b> %	5%	33%	32%	22%
	bsd-f7-nopack	<b>3</b> %	2%	2%	6%	<b>5</b> %	5%	34%	32%	<b>26</b> %
	bsd-f6-packed	10%	10%	16%	12%	11%	22%	13%	12%	<b>27</b> %
	bsd-f7-packed	10%	10%	18%	11%	10%	26%	13%	13%	<b>30</b> %
	ruby-f6-nopack	8%	12%	10%	20%	20%	22%	51%	53%	55%
	ruby-f7-nopack	8%	8%	9%	20%	19%	21%	45%	49%	56%
	ruby-f6-packed	73%	82%	81%	80%	79%	81%	82%	81%	75%
file://	ruby-f7-packed	82%	78%	80%	81%	78%	83%	82%	77%	83%
≝	bsd-f6-nopack	7%	7%	7%	20%	20%	22%	50%	53%	57%
	bsd-f7-nopack	9%	10%	10%	20%	21%	22%	52%	47%	56%
	bsd-f6-packed	63%	62%	62%	68%	73%	68%	78%	80%	80%
	bsd-f7-packed	49%	55%	60%	71%	69%	75%	80%	80%	77%

- Packed repos are much faster here than non-packed ones when I/O is not for free.
- On RAM disks, the processing overhead of pack revprops shows (albeit still at very throughput of >10krev/s) Revprop caching ("fast" config, n/a for file:) eliminates the problem if necessary.
- The outlier for bsd-f6-packed on HDD with ra\_local is neither consistent with the measurements for other configs, RA layers nor storages. It creates a signal in F7./.F6 that is probably false. Same goes for the the second outlier bsd-f6-nonpacked on RAM.
- bsd-f7-nopack shows the same accidental better performance on HDD as it did in the export tests. Apart from that, there is neither a significant nor a consistent difference between F6 and F7.
- Apart from the exceptions noted, F6 and F7 perform the same as can expected. The test only accesses Revprops and does not touch any revision file contents.